



From the Right to be Let Alone to the Control of Personal Data (in the Labour Context)

Paula Brito

I2J – Instituto de Investigação Jurídica da Universidade Lusófona do Porto,
University Institute of Maia – ISMAI, Polytechnic Institute of Maia – IPMAIA, Portugal

Abstract: The theme of this paper is the paradigm shift in the outlook of workers' privacy protection. The focus of this work is the successive and recent evolution of this theme, defending an active approach to privacy, per which the workers have sufficient control over their data. The theoretical framework of the worker's right to privacy and its adaptation to the current technological world is the base of the adopted methodology. It includes the study of the legislation, doctrinal and jurisprudential positions, and guidelines from various bodies and entities. The conclusions summarise the current challenges faced by the labour jurist, in an era when NICT (new information and communication technologies) are part of the corporate environment to find ways to raise awareness about the reaffirmation of limits and control of technology, as the only way to guarantee the safeguarding of the workers' fundamental rights, which are undoubtedly essential for defending the worker in a potentially absorbing context outside his domain, being subject to corporate power. The conciliation between the defense of workers' privacy, on the one hand, and business interests and rights, on the other hand, is the reference for balance.

Keywords: Corporate powers, Data protection, NICT, Workers' privacy

1. Introduction. the Peculiarity of Workers' Privacy

The protection of privacy as a fundamental right is a transversal theme to the human experience. It is grounded in the underlying dignity of the human person and involves the human person in any of their facets. That is why we see the protection of privacy by law, as the main bastion of the defense of the human person. We observe the protection of privacy, for example, in constitutional law, criminal law, family law and, obviously, labour law.

In truth, when rendering their work, the involvement of the person of the worker is intense and profound. This involvement from various aspects in which the complex labour relation unfolds. The employment contract, being intrinsically intuitive personae, supposes the concrete person of that worker. But it also presupposes the involvement of the worker in rendering their work. And, being the worker subject to the power of control by the employer, there is a high potentiality of permeability to possible violations of the worker's rights by the employer or someone in their representation, affecting, potentially or concretely, the worker's right to privacy. Well, the worker is still a human person; they are still citizens; they are, in short, still themselves when they transpose the company's gates. In 2002, the Article 29 Working Party Already stated that "Workers do not abandon their right to privacy and data protection every morning at the doors of the workplace. They do have a legitimate expectation of a certain degree of privacy in the workplace as they develop a significant part of their relationships with other human beings within the workplace".

At the workplace, as an employee, they are particularly exposed and vulnerable to aggressions to their privacy. The worker spends the vast majority of the working hours of their day at the workplace, and there they develop working relationships, but also social relations, of friendship, of sharing information and aspects of their personal lives. That is normal, it is healthy, and it is inevitable. But that permeability on the worker's personal life which we ineluctably witness in a work relationship is, or can be, detrimental to the worker's privacy if, in addition to the aforementioned, account is taken of the relevant aspect of the worker being subject, legally, to the power of control by the employer. "A inseparabilidade da actividade de trabalho da pessoa do trabalhador evidencia-se nos seguintes traços do vínculo laboral:

na relevante e permanente indeterminação da prestação de trabalho; no conteúdo amplíssimo dos poderes laborais; e no envolvimento integral da pessoa do trabalhador no vínculo” (Ramalho, 2009: 449). This power of¹ control, being legal and even desirable for business success, comprises, however, the potential ingredients for a serious and profound injury to the worker's intimacy. Hence, the worker can (and should) invoke their rights and their privacy, duelling against an employer power that shows to be intrusive, while the law must impose especially protective measures in this segment of manifestation of the human personality: the worker. These are rights that “embora de todos os homens, para os trabalhadores assumem mais interesse ... porque a experiência do constitucionalismo consiste, toda, na aquisição progressiva dos direitos daqueles que careçam de proteção”) (Miranda, 2017: 143).

2. Allusion to the Transnational Roots in Protecting Privacy

The protection of privacy, in general, has already been widely proclaimed in international bodies for over a century. The emblematic Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) Can be quoted, in Article 12, stating that “No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks”. Likewise, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966) Also provides that “1. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour and reputation. 2. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks” (Article 17).

At the European level, under the aegis of the Conseil of Europe, the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) enshrines the right to respect for private and family life, asserting that “1. Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. 2. There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary for a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others” (Article 8). This legal standard has been frequently used by the European Court of Human Rights And its decisions have been a valuable auxiliary to the densification of privacy, particularly in the scope of labour.

Also, within th²e scope of the Conseil of Europe, the Convention 108 for the protection of individuals concerning about

¹ Portuguese national jurisprudence has always defended and imposed the impermeability of the employer about the worker's privacy strongholds, even for disciplinary purposes. To that regard, among others, see the Court Decision by the Portuguese First Court of Appeal of Lisbon, from 03.05.2006, Proc. 872/2006-4, retrieved 20.02.2020 from <http://www.dgsi.pt/jtrl.nsf/33182fc732316039802565fa00497eec/2ee49abdddb133948025717f0042790b?OpenDocument>, or the Court Decision by the Portuguese First Court of Appeal of Porto, from 21.11.2011, Proc. 520/08.9TTMTS.P2 retrieved 20.02.2020 from <http://www.dgsi.pt/jtrp.nsf/-/9E7AF332EE8B614E80257981005420CC>.

Abbreviated as WP29, created by article 29 of the no longer in force Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 24.10.1995 on the protection of individuals about the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (Official Journal L 281, 23.11.1995 P. 0031 – 0050), retrieved 21.02.2020 from <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/eng/TXT/?uri=celex%3A31995L0046>.

In “Working document on the surveillance of electronic communications in the workplace”, adopted by WP29, 29.05.2002, p. 4, retrieved 21.02.2020 from https://ec.europa.eu/justice/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2002/wp55_en.pdf.

Free translation: “The inseparability of the work activity from the person of the worker is evident in the following features of the employment bond: the relevant and permanent indeterminacy of the provision of work; the very broad content of labour powers; and the integral involvement of the worker's person in the bond”.

. According to PINTO, P. C. D. M. 2000. A Protecção da Vida Privada e a Constituição. Boletim da Faculdade de Direito., p.183 and ASSIS, R. 2005. O Poder de Direcção do Empregador, Coimbra Editora. p. 261, which assume a catalogue of citizenship rights that limit the exercise of the employer's powers.

Free translation: “despite belonging to every man, for workers assume more interest ... because the experience of constitutionalism consists, as a whole, in the progressive acquisition of the rights of those who lack protection”.

² Retrieved 03.03.2020 from <https://unric.org/pt/wp-content/uploads/sites/9/2019/07/Declara%C3%A7%C3%A3o-Universal-dos-Direitos-Humanos.pdf>.

Retrieved 3.03.02.2020 from <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CCPR.aspx>.

See, among others, the Court Decision from 16.12.1992, Niemietz vs. Germany, retrieved 12.03.2020 from [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"languageisocode":\["FRE"\],"appno":\["13710/88"\],"documentcollectionid2":\["CHAMBER"\],"itemid":\["001-62344"\]](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{)}, the Court Decision from 2.07.2017, Vinci Construction et GTM Génie Civil et Services vs France, retrieved 12.03.2020 from [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"appno":\["63629/10"\],"itemid":\["001-153318"\]](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{)}. And, in the labor scope, Court Decision from 25.06.1997, Halford vs United Kingdom, retrieved 12.03.2020 from [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fre#{"itemid":\["001-62600"\]](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fre#{)} and Court Decision from 5.09.2017, Bărbulescu vs. Romania, retrieved 12.03.2020 from [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"languageisocode":\["FRE"\],"appno":\["61496/08"\],"documentcollectionid2":\["GRANDCHAMBER"\],"itemid":\["001-177083"\]](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{)} (in line with Court Decision from 3.07.2007, Copland vs United Kingdom, retrieved 13.03.2020 from

the processing of personal data Throughout its regulations, reinforces the purpose of protecting the rights, freedoms and guarantees of individuals with an emphasis on the right to private life, and therefore, in Article 1, object and purpose, states that “The purpose of this Convention is to protect every individual, whatever his or her nationality or residence, about the processing of their data, thereby contributing to respect for his or her human rights and fundamental freedoms, and in particular the right to privacy”. In the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2016) The European Union member countries proclaim “the right to respect for his or her private and family life, home and communications” and already pave the way for the right to the protection of personal data (Articles 7 and 8).

According to Teece (2007) sensing capability constitutes an organization's propensity to notice the changes in the environment based on its current capability. That is, sensing capability has to do with the ability to promptly recognize opportunities in the environment when it presents itself, while also, having the means to monitor threats from the environment (Teece, 2007; Barreto, 2010). The second dimension learning capability is the ability to create, acquire and share knowledge to respond to opportunities and threats from the operating environment (Eisenhardt and Martin, 2000; Verona and Ravasi, 2003). Lastly, the third dimension reconfiguration capability is the organization's potential to generate capabilities to integrate current capabilities (Lavie, 2006; Capron and Mitchell, 2009). In Portugal, this right to protect the privacy of one's personal and family life protecting under the Constitution (Article 26). The right to the privacy of one's personal life materializes in two derived rights (both negative): the right to prevent others from having access to information about the person's private and family life; the freedom that no one should disclose information they may have regarding the individual's private or family life.

The notion of protection of the privacy one's personal life is coming to be defined by the Portuguese Constitutional Court throughout its jurisprudential work. As the Court explains, it is the “direito de cada um de ver o interior ou o espaço da pessoa ou do seu domicílio protegido de outras intromissões. É a privacidade de direito anglo-saxónico” . It's the “direito a uma esfera própria inviolável, onde ninguém deve poder penetrar sem autorização do respectivo titular” , which comprises the autonomy and the “direito a não ver difundido o que é próprio dessa esfera de intimidade, a não ser mediante autorização do interessado”. The protection and tutelage of privacy are closely linked to the dignity and freedom of the individual. It means being able to choose between exposing oneself or reserving a space of your existence without the intrusion of other people. It is the right to be alone or “o interesse do indivíduo na sua privacidade, isto é, em subtrair-se à atenção dos outros, em impedir o acesso a si próprio ou em obstar à tomada de conhecimento ou à divulgação de informação pessoal” (Pinto, 1993: 508-509).

This notion of privacy has very recently been reaffirmed Regarding the analysis of access to telecommunications and Internet data by officials of public entities.

3. The Worker Core of Protection

The right to dignity and privacy directed towards labour law serves as a foundation and support for the most recent rights to informational identity and the right to data protection, seen by some as a “direito de personalidade” (Pinheiro, 2015: 803) However, the original, traditional perspective that supports the right to privacy, per Anglo-Saxon law, the right to be left alone (even if adding “a liberdade de actuação, mas igualmente a liberdade de não actuar .. a passividade” As a right to the development of personality) (Pinto, 1999: 203), today, seems insufficient, particularly in the labour scope and in areas where technological advances are particularly felt (such as the paradigmatic case of an employer's biometric control).

The development of information and communication technologies has brought about profound changes in the paradigm of the employment relationship, affecting worker privacy in a, particularly significant way. Indeed, “um dos direitos que mais tem sido afetado por estas tecnologias é o direito à privacidade” (Moreira, Julho/Dezembro 2017: 15), since the NICT assume an ambivalent character, operating “simultaneamente, como instrumento para desempenhar a actividade produtiva e como mecanismo de controlo da prestação de trabalho executada pelo trabalhador” (Moreira, 2010: 421),

[https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"languageisocode":\["FRE"\],"appno":\["62617/00"\],"documentcollectionid2":\["CHAMBER"\],"itemid":\["001-79997"\]}](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{)

Retrieved 03.03.2020 from <https://rm.coe.int/convention-108-convention-for-the-protection-of-individuals-with-regar/16808b36f1>.

Retrieved 03.03.2020 from <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/eng/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:12016P/TXT&from=FR>.

resulting in “o aumento, quer da quantidade, quer da qualidade da informação pessoal de que o empregador passa a dispor acerca do seu trabalhador, associadas ao seu tratamento cada vez mais veloz e mais acessível” (Castro, 2018: 273).

The responsibility lies with the courts, if it comes to that, to assess the employer’s use of technology and how they apply that power of control. And this is undoubtedly the case. But it does not seem that an a posteriori jurisdictional control, that is, after an injury to the worker’s privacy has already been inflicted (impossible to repair in nature) could calm the world of jurists. The purpose must, therefore, be to preventively and efficiently halt the unjustified and unlawful injury to the worker’s privacy. The worker must be assured that the law protects that intimate sphere of theirs from injury, making it unreachable to the employer.³

This applies without prejudice to the full awareness that the aforementioned protection of the worker's right to private life does not constitute an absolute right and “pode ser limitado, por exemplo, se estiver em causa a protecção da saúde pública ou a segurança do próprio ou de terceiros” (Abrantes, 2018: 168), so sometimes it may be necessary to restrict it, as long as the constitutional assumptions inherent are upheld, or other relevant rights or interests are harmonized, namely the right of business organization by the employer. . However, “também aqui, por imposição constitucional, a reserva da intimidade da vida privada deve ser a regra, não a excepção, apenas se justificando a sua limitação quando interesses superiores o exigjam” (Abrantes, 2018: 143). Aware of this need for harmonization, it is stated that the “infra-estrutura teleológica do problema da tutela da privacy é caracterizada por uma fundamental contraposição: de um lado, o interesse do indivíduo na sua privacidade, isto é, em subtrair-se à atenção dos outros, em impedir o acesso a si próprio ou em obstar à tomada de conhecimento ou à divulgação de informação pessoal (interesses estes que, resumindo, poderia dizer-se serem os interesses em evitar a intromissão dos outros na esfera privada e em impedir a revelação da informação pertencente a essa esfera); de outro lado, fundamentalmente o interesse em conhecer e em divulgar a informação conhecida, além do mais raro em ter acesso ou controlar os movimentos do indivíduo” (Pinto, 1993: 508-509).

The fulfilment of the right to the privacy of one’s personal life in the legal framework of infra constitutional labour law is justified and imposed by the special characteristics that the employment relationship brings to the life of the worker since the dependency (legal, economic and/or social) of the worker in relation w the employer is an inherent and practically general reality in the employment relationship. However, this legal subordination cannot be unlimited and has to adhere to what directly concerns the organization of work, because otherwise, under the pretext of the existence of an employment contract, there is an unjustified intrusion and submission of the worker, perfectly unacceptable because they retain a stronghold of autonomy and protection of their private life. Currently, this perspective is mostly undisputed. Due to all these factors, it was becoming pressing to attend to the safeguarding of the right to protect one’s private life in an area as specific and enabling as the employment relationship.

³ Free translation: “the freedom to act, but also the freedom to not act... the passivity”.

Free translation: “one of the rights that has been most affected by these technologies is the right to privacy”.

Free translation: “Simultaneously, as an instrument to perform the production activity and as a mechanism to control the rendering of work performed by the worker”.

Free translation: “The increase, both in quantity and quality, of personal information now available to the employer about their worker, associated with its increasingly faster and more accessible treatment”.

See Decision Portuguese Supreme Court of Justice from 9.01.2019, Process no. 2066/15.0T8PNF.P1.S1 retrieved 24.02.2020 from <http://www.dgsi.pt/jstj.nsf/954f0ce6ad9dd8b980256b5f003fa814/4f61b25673a10f428025837e0039cd13?OpenDocument> that, although for procedural reasons (conviction on an object other than that of the request) does not confirm the conviction for violation of the employee's right to privacy, it does not call into question the substantial assessment of the Court a quo which repudiates the employer's behaviour when, using a geolocation device installed in the vehicle, controls the worker by locating the vehicle, even when it was used for their private life, far beyond their working hours, thus allowing the employer to establish a profile of the worker's behaviour, diurnal and nocturnal, and to track their private life habits. However, if such a device is used exclusively for professional purposes, the position of this Court is the opposite, understanding that it must be compressible with other legitimate interests, namely the power of management that belongs to the employer and the protection and safety of people and goods or the particular requirements inherent to the nature of the activity (according to Court Decision from 13.11.2013, Process no. 73/12.3TTVNF.P1.S1, available at <http://www.dgsi.pt/jstj.nsf/954f0ce6ad9dd8b980256b5f003fa814/e32eab3444364cb980257c2300331c47?OpenDocument>).

Free translation: “can be limited, for example, if the protection of public health or the safety of oneself or others is at stake.”

That is why it is understood that the worker's right to privacy, in a digital and potentially total world, cannot be limited to being viewed in the traditional negative perspective, of exclusion, of keeping others away from the sphere of the worker.⁴

It is imperative that privacy is added a positive, dynamic, interventional dimension, so that workers (and, indeed, individuals in general) have the right to control the information that concerns them and, therefore, it is inalienably theirs and cannot be appropriated by the employer who may use it, however, whenever and until whenever they please. More so when “la condición de responsable a efectos de tratamiento de datos personales puede ser compartida por varios sujetos... que dos o más empresas colaboren, de uno u outro modo, en la correspondiente actividad productiva” (Murcia and Cardo, July / December 2017:65). To that extent, the concept of traditional privacy has to be completed and fulfilled with the right to informational self-determination. This right, as far back as 2008, was defined as the “direito de subtrair ao conhecimento do público factos e comportamentos reveladores do modo de ser do sujeito na condução da sua vida privada”. However, currently its content must also assume a dynamic perspective that is granted by the right to data protection. “A autodeterminação informacional só pode considerar-se efectuada se o titular dos dados tiver a possibilidade de decidir sobre a sua utilização, nomeadamente a capacidade de se opor a uma armazenagem ilimitada ou o seu cruzamento arbitrário, de modo a obter respostas mais completas sobre o referido titular” (Pinheiro, 2015: 515). This right implies that the worker gives the possibility to act, to have the freedom to intervene (yet without losing the original defensive facet, of preventing intrusion into the private area of the worker's life).

This new dynamic facet implies that companies conform to the binding indications of the General Data Protection Regulation and, in the Portuguese labour context, it also implies its implementation at the internal legislative level, carried out maxime by the Labour Code (Arts. 14 to 22) and Law no. 58/2019, of 2019.08.08 (Art. 28). And the employer, as the responsible for data processing “ao disponibilizar meios tecnológicos para o desempenho da atividade profissional... tem de assegurar que não tratará, por qualquer forma, dados pessoais que revelem aspetos da vida privada ou da vida não profissional dos seus trabalhadores” (Calvão, 2018: 6).

Nowadays, when it comes to the protection of their privacy in the workplace context, the worker is no longer satisfied by keeping their private life (or parts of it) away from the intrusion of the employer, all the more so since today it is “ao alcance dos privados meios que podem atentar contra a esfera privada dos outros sujeitos” (Barbosa, 2017: 13). Therefore, in addition to this exclusionary power, the worker demands and intervenes to control their personal data in the employer's possession for processing. This effective control of their personal data is a new and interventional facet that must be recognized and protected so that the employee's privacy is not affected.

4. Conclusion. the Paradigm Shift: Active Protection

Technological development carries increased risks to the labour world with regard to workers' privacy. Subject to the employer's power of control, they may be affected by covert forms of intrusive control, exceeding what the business interest of control (which is serious, relevant and protected) as a legitimate power of the employer is. Thus, limits to this power must be established and implemented, as it currently grows exponentially on the back of the NICT. It is no longer enough to assert privacy as a space of exclusion on a negative side. It is imperative to apply the principles of personal data protection, increasingly present and alert, nowadays. These principles undoubtedly fill the new positive aspect of the employee's privacy, granting them instruments of defence against a possibly abusive control of the employer, doing so, predominantly, in a preventive manner.

⁴ Free translation: “here too, per constitutional imposition, the right to the privacy of one's personal life should be the rule, not the exception, with its limitations only being justified when higher interests demand it.”

Free translation: “teleological infrastructure of the problem of the tutelage of privacy is characterized by a fundamental opposition: on the one hand, the individual's interest in their privacy, that is, to withdraw from the attention of others, to prevent access to themselves or to hinder the apprehension of knowledge or the disclosure of personal information (interests which, in short, could be said to be the interests in avoiding the meddling of others in the private sphere and in preventing the disclosure of information belonging to this sphere), on the other hand, fundamentally the interest in knowing and disseminating the information apprehended, in addition to the rarer having access to or controlling the individual's movements”

The CNPD (Comissão Nacional de Proteção de Dados), the Portuguese Data Protection Authority, in Deliberation no. 32/96, from 4.06.1996, retrieved 20.02.2020 from <https://www.cnpd.pt/bin/decisoies/1996/htm/del/del032-96.htm>, considers that “the automated processing of data (using a magnetic card) which aims to control the presence of workers in health facilities... constitutes an attack on their private life and the dignity of the human person”, (free translation) and is therefore unlawful.

Free translation: “the condition of being responsible for the purposes of the treatment of personal data can be shared by various subjects... that two or more companies collaborate, in one way or another, in the corresponding activity of production”.

References

- Abrantes, J. J. (2018). Direitos fundamentais da pessoa humana no trabalho - em especial, a reserva da intimidade da vida privada (algumas questões). In: AAFDL (ed.) Estudos de Direito do Trabalho. Lisboa, 51-170.
- Assis, R. (2005). O Poder de Direcção do Empregador. Coimbra Editora.
- Barbosa, M. M. (2017). Protecção de dados e direitos de personalidade: uma relação de interioridade constitutiva. AB Instantia, Ano V, 13-47.
- Calvão, F. U. (2018). A protecção de dados pessoais no contexto laboral: análise da jurisprudência. Cadernos de Justiça Administrativa. Centro de Estudos Jurídicos do Minho, 3-10
- Castro, C. S. E. (2018). Novas tecnologias e relação laboral -alguns problemas: tratamento de dados pessoais, novo regulamento de protecção de dados e direito à desconexão. Revista do CEJ, 271-299.
- Cordeiro, A. B. M. (2020). Direito da Protecção de Dados, Coimbra: Edições Almedina, S.A..
- Mestre, B. (2018). O RGPD, o TEDH e as relações laborais: um equilíbrio complexo. Prontuário de Direito do Trabalho. Centro de Estudos Judiciários, 157-193.
- Miranda, J. (2017). Direitos Fundamentais, Coimbra: Edições Almedina, S.A..
- Moreira, T. A. C. (2010). A privacidade dos trabalhadores e as novas tecnologias de informação e comunicação: contributo para um estudo dos limites do poder de controlo electrónico do empregador, Coimbra: Livraria Almedina.
- Moreira, T. C. Julho/Dezembro (2017). Algumas Implicações Laborais do Regulamento Geral de Protecção de Dados Pessoais no Trabalho 4.0. Questões Laborais. Edições Almedina, S. A., 9-34.
- Murcia, J. G. and Cardo, I. A. R. Julho/Dezembro (2017). Implicaciones laborales del Reglamento 2016/679 de la Unión Europea sobre Protección de datos personales. Questões Laborais. Edições Almedina, S.A., 35-67.
- Pinheiro, A. S. (2015). Privacy e Protecção de Dados Pessoais: A Construção Dogmática do Direito à Identidade Informacional, Lisboa: AAFDL.
- Pinheiro, A. S. (2018). Apresentação do Regulamento (UE) 2016/679 do Parlamento Europeu e do Conselho, de 27 de Abril de 2016 - Regulamento Geral de Protecção de Dados (RGPD). Revista do CEJ, 303-327. [Crossref](#).
- Pinheiro, A. S., Coelho, C. P., Duarte, T., Gonçakves, C. J. and Gonçalves, C. P. (2018). Comentário ao Regulamento Geral de Protecção de Dados, Coimbra: Edições Almedina, S.A.
- Pinto, P. C. C. D. M. (1993). O direito à reserva sobre a intimidade da vida privada. Boletim da Faculdade de Direito da Universidade de Coimbra, 479-586.
- Pinto, P. C. C. D. M. (2000). A Protecção da Vida Privada e a Constituição. Boletim da Faculdade de Direito da Universidade de Coimbra, 153-203.
- Pinto, P. M. (1999). O Direito ao Livre Desenvolvimento da Personalidade. Studia Juridica - Boletim da Faculdade de Direito. Universidade de Coimbra: Portugal-Brasil ano 2000, 149-261.
- Ramalho, M. D. R. P. (2009). Direito do Trabalho, Parte I – Dogmática Geral, Coimbra: Edições Almedina, S.A.